



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 15

Qualcomm Incorporated  
Patents Department  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121-1714

**COPY MAILED**

NOV 17 2004

In re Application of  
Rezaiifar et al.  
Application No. 09/503,401  
Filed: February 14, 2000  
Attorney Docket No. PA451DIV2

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

Decision on Petition

This a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 4, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **granted**.

This application became abandoned for failure to properly reply within three months to the final Office action mailed March 24, 2004. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on June 25, 2004.

The instant petition requests revival of the application. Petitioner has met the requirements to revive the above-identified application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). Therefore, the petition is granted and the application is revived.

37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. If the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) and petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$950 extension of time fee submitted with the petition on October 4, 2004, was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

The file is now being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 2665 for entry and consideration of the Request for Continued Examination and amendment filed on October 4, 2004.

Telephone inquiries may be directed to Petitions Attorney Steven Brantley at (571) 272-3203.

Charles Steven Brantley  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions